

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/807,713	03/23/2004	Lynn Epstein	1966.0020003/BJD/FRC	5594		
759	90 08/24/2006	EXAMINER				
KING & SPALDING			BROWN, MICHAEL A			
191 Peachtree S Atlanta, GA 30			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3764			
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

r	_
L	

			Application N	0.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/807,713		EPSTEIN, LYNN				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
			Michael Brown		3764			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ears on the cov	er sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N usions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply is specified above, the maximum si- tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply- teply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period wi y will, by statute, o	TE OF THIS (6(a). In no event, ho ill apply and will expi cause the application	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be tim re SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
,	Since this application is in condition	·—			secution as to the	e merits is		
-/	closed in accordance with the pract		•	• •				
Dienoeiti	on of Claims			,				
-	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.							
· —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election requi	rement.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner	•					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Exa	aminer. Note th	ne attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationse the attached detailed Office actions	documents documents of the priori	have been rec have been rec ty documents (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application have been receive .2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date 3-23-04.		5) [Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te	D-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/807,713

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Ongwela.

Ongwela discloses in figures 1-2 a device comprising a first finger covering (the cover over the index finger), a second finger covering (the cover over the ring finger), a first tool (12 on the index finger) and a second tool (12 on the ring finger).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ongwela in view of Carr, along with Lei.

Ongwela discloses in figures 1-2 a device that discloses the tools (12), can be any shape (col. 4, lines 16-21), a plurality of finger covers (each finger has a covering in fig. 1), a first stimulating means (12), a second stimulating means (12). However, Ongwela doesn't disclose forming the tools or stimulating means with different tactile sensations. Carr teaches in figures 1-8 a finger covering that includes tools (16, 34, 46)

Art Unit: 3764

that are spikes and knobs. Lei teaches in figure 4 a finger covering that includes different shaped tools (401, 402, 403) that can be on one finger covering. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the tools (stimulating means) disclosed by Ongwela could be shaped as spikes knobs, concentric rings or a plurality of curved lines based on the teaching provided by Carr and Lei. Also Ongwela teaches that the tools (stimulation means) could be any shape, which encompasses the different shapes recited in the claims of the present invention. The stimulating means could be different shapes and sizes to provide different tactile sensations to the body. The body parts that could be stimulated could include the different body parts recited in claims (13-19). A glove having first and second finger coverings is disclosed by Ongwela. Car teaches using the finger protector as an oral motor therapy device.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Claire discloses a glove with textured finger tips.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-4972. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 am-4:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gergory Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

M. Brown August 15, 2006

> MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Milala Brown